

**METHOD AND SYSTEM FOR GENERATING STATISTICALLY-BASED
MEDICAL PROVIDER UTILIZATION PROFILES**

Microfiche Appendix

This specification includes a Microfiche Appendix which includes 1 page of microfiche with a total of 37 frames. The microfiche appendix includes computer source code of one preferred embodiment of the invention. In other embodiments of the invention, the inventive concept may be implemented in other computer code, in computer hardware, in other circuitry, in a combination of these, or otherwise. The Microfiche Appendix is hereby incorporated by reference in its entirety and is considered to be a part of the disclosure of this specification.



I. Background of the Invention

A. Field of the Invention

The invention relates to methods and systems for analyzing medical claims histories and billing patterns to statistically establish treatment utilization patterns for various medical services. Data is validated using statistical and clinically derived methods. Based on historical treatment patterns and a fee schedule, an accurate model of the cost of a specific medical episode can be created. Various treatment patterns for a particular diagnosis can be compared by treatment cost and patient outcome to determine the most effective treatment approach. It is also possible to identify those medical providers who provide treatment that does not fall within the statistically established treatment patterns or profiles.

B. The Background Art

It is desirable to compare claims for reimbursement for medical services against a treatment pattern developed from a large body of accurate medical provider billing history information. Although in the prior art some attempt was made to compare claims for reimbursement for medical services to a normative index, the prior art did not construct the normative index based on actual clinical data. Rather, the prior art based the normative index on a subjective conception (such as the medical consensus of a specialty group) of what the proper or typical course of treatment should be for a

given diagnosis. Such prior art normative indices tended to vary from the reality of medical practice. In the prior art, automated medical claims processing systems, systems for detecting submission of a fraudulent medical claims, and systems for providing a medical baseline for the evaluation of ambulatory medical services were known. Documents which may be relevant to the background of the invention, including documents pertaining to medical reimbursement systems, mechanisms for detecting fraudulent medical claims, and related analytical and processing methods, were known. Examples include: U.S. Pat. No. 4,858,121, entitled "Medical Payment System" and issued in the name Barber et al. on Aug. 15, 1989; U.S. Pat. No. 5,253,164, entitled "System and Method for Detecting Fraudulent Medical Claims Via Examination of Service Codes" and issued in the name of Holloway et al. on Oct. 12, 1993; U.S. Pat. No. 4,803,641, entitled "Basic Expert System Tool" and issued in the name of Hardy et al. on Feb. 7, 1989; U.S. Pat. No. 5,658,370, entitled "Knowledge Engineering Tool" and issued in the name of Erman et al. on Apr. 14, 1987; U.S. Pat. No. 4,667,292, entitled "Medical Reimbursement Computer System" and issued in the name of Mohlenbrock et al. on May 19, 1987; U.S. Pat. No. 4,858,121, entitled "Medical Payment System" and issued in the name of Barber et al. on Aug. 15, 1989; and U.S. Pat. No. 4,987,538, entitled "Automated Processing of Provider Billings" and issued in the name of Johnson et al. on Jan. 22, 1991, each of which is hereby incorporated by reference in its entirety for the material disclosed therein.

Additional examples of documents that may be relevant to the background of the invention are: Leape, "Practice Guidelines and Standards: An Overview," QRB (Feb. 1990); Jollis et al., "Discordance of Databases Designed for Claims Payment versus Clinical Information Systems," Annals of Internal Medicine (Oct. 15, 1993); Freed et al., "Tracking Quality Assurance Activity," American College of Utilization Review Physicians (November, 1988); Roberts et al., "Quality and Cost-Efficiency," American College of Utilization Review Physicians (November, 1988), Rodriguez, "Literature Review," Quality Assurance and Utilization Review – Official Journal of the American College of Medical Quality (Fall 1991); Elden, "The Direction of the Healthcare Marketplace," Journal of the American College of Utilization Review Physicians (August 1989); Rodriguez, "Literature Review," Quality Assurance and Utilization Review – Official Journal of the American College of Medical Quality (Fall 1991); Roos et al., "Using Administrative Data to Predict Important Health Outcomes,"

Medical Care (March 1988); Burns et al., "The Use of Continuous Quality Improvement Methods in the Development and Dissemination of Medical Practice Guidelines," QRB (December, 1992); Weingarten, "The Case for Intensive Dissemination: Adoption of Practice Guidelines in the Coronary Care Unit," QRB (December, 1992); Flagle et al., "AHCPR–NLM Joint Initiative for Health Services Research Information: 1992 Update on OHSRI," QRB (December, 1992); Holzer, "The Advent of Clinical Standards for Professional Liability," QRB (February, 1990); Gottlieb et al., "Clinical Practice Guidelines at an HMO: Development and Implementation in a Quality Improvement Model," QRB (February, 1990); Borbas et al., "The Minnesota Clinical Comparison and Assessment Project," QRB (February, 1990); Weiner et al., "Applying Insurance Claims Data to Assess Quality of Care: A Compilation of Potential Indicators," QRB (December, 1990); Wakefield et al., "Overcoming the Barriers to Implementation of TQM/CQI in Hospitals: Myths and Realities," QRB (March, 1993); Donabedian, "The Role of Outcomes in Quality Assessment and Assurance," QRB (November, 1992); Dolan et al., "Using the Analytic Hierarchy Process (AHP) to Develop and Disseminate Guidelines," QRB (December, 1992); Hadorn et al., "An Annotated Algorithm Approach to Clinical Guideline Development," JAMA (Jun. 24, 1992); Falconer et al., "The Critical Path Method in Stroke Rehabilitation: Lessons from an Experiment in Cost Containment and Outcome Improvement," QRB (January, 1993); Reinertsen, "Outcomes Management and Continuous Quality Improvement: The Compass and the Rudder," QRB (January, 1993); Mennemeyer, "Downstream Outcomes: Using Insurance Claims Data to Screen for Errors in Clinical Laboratory Testing," QRB (June, 1991); Iezzoni, "Using Severity Information for Quality Assessment: A Review of Three Cases by Five Severity Measures," QRB (December 1989); Kahn, "Measuring the Clinical Appropriateness of the Use of a Procedure," Medical Care (April, 1988); Wall, "Practice Guidelines: Promise or Panacea?," The Journal of Family Practice (1993); Lawless, "A Managed Care Approach to Outpatient Review," Quality Assurance and Utilization Review – Official Journal of the American College of Utilization Review Physicians (May, 1990); Dragalin et al., "Institutes for Quality: Prudential's Approach to Outcomes Management for Specialty Procedures," QRB (March, 1990); Chinsky, "Patterns of Treatment Ambulatory Health Care Management, Physician Profiling – The Impact of Physician, Patient, and Market Characteristics On Appropriateness of Physician Practice in the Ambulatory Setting," (Doctoral Dissertation, The University of

Michigan, 1991), published by Concurrent Review Concurrent Review Technology, Inc., Shingle Springs, California; "Patterns of Treatment Ambulatory Health Care Management, Implementation Guide," published by Concurrent Review Concurrent Review Technology, Inc., Shingle Springs, California; "Patterns of Treatment Ambulatory Health Care Management, Patterns Processing Model," published by Concurrent Review Concurrent Review Technology, Inc., Shingle Springs, California; Report on Medical Guidelines & Outcome Research, 4 (Feb. 11, 1993); "Practice Guidelines – The Experience of Medical Specialty Societies," United States General Accounting Office Report to Congressional Requestors (GAO/PEMD-91-11 Practice Guideline) (Feb. 21, 1991); "Medicare Intermediary Manual Part 3 – Claims Process," Department of Health and Human Services, Health Care Financing Administration, Transmittal No. 1595 (April 1993); CCH Pulse The Health Care Reform Newsletter (Apr. 19, 1993); Winslow, "Report Card on Quality and Efficiency of HMOs May Provide a Model for Others," The Wall Street Journal; Jencks et al., "Strategies for Reforming Medicare's Physician Payments," The New England Journal of Medicine (Jun. 6, 1985); Solon et al., "Delineating Episodes of Medical Care," A.J.P.H. (March, 1967); Health Care (September, 1986) (the entire issue of Volume 24, Number 9, Supplement); Miller et al., "Physician Charges in the Hospital," Medical Care (July, 1992); Garnick, "Services and Charges by PPO Physicians for PPO and Indemnity Patients," Medical Care (October, 1990); Hurwicz et al., "Care Seeking for Musculoskeletal and Respiratory Episodes in a Medicare Population," Medical Care (November, 1991); Gold, "The Content of Adult Primary Care Episodes," Public Health Reports (January–February, 1982); Welch et al., "Geographic Variations in Expenditures for Physicians' Services in the United States," The New England Journal of Medicine (Mar. 4, 1993); Schneeweiss et al., "Diagnosis Clusters: A New Tool for Analyzing the Content of Ambulatory Medical Care," Medical Care (January, 1983); Showstack, "Episode-of-Care Physician Payment: A Study of Coronary Arter Bypass Graft Surgery," Inquiry (Winter, 1987); Schappert, "National Ambulatory Medical Survey: 1989 Summary," Vital and Health Statistics, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, Public Health Service, Centers for Disease Control, National Center for Health Statistics (April, 1992) (DHHS Publication No. [PHS] 92-1771); Graves, "Detailed Diagnoses and Procedures, National Hospital Discharge Survey, 1990," Vital and Health Statistics, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, Public Health

Service, Centers for Disease Control, National Center for Health Statistics (June, 1992) (DHHS Publication No. [PHS] 92-1774); "National Hospital Discharge Survey: Annual Summary, 1990," Vital and Health Statistics, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, Public Health Service, Centers for Disease Control, National Center for Health Statistics (June, 1992) (DHHS Publication No. [PHS] 92-1773); "Prevalence of Selected Chronic Conditions: United States, 1986-88," Vital and Health Statistics, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, Public Health Service, Centers for Disease Control, National Center for Health Statistics (February, 1993) (Series 10, No. 182); "Current Estimates From the National Health Interview Survey, 1991," Vital and Health Statistics, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, Public Health Service, Centers for Disease Control, National Center for Health Statistics (February, 1993) (DHHS Publication No. [PHS] 93-1512); Iezzoni et al., "A Description and Clinical Assessment of the Computerized Severity Index," QRB (February, 1992); Health Care Financing Review, p. 30 (Winter, 1991); Statistical Abstract of the United States (1992); and Health and Prevention Profile - United States (1991) (published by U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, Public Health Service, Centers for Disease Control, National Center for Health Studies), each of which is hereby incorporated by reference in its entirety for the material disclosed therein.

Additional background materials to which the reader is directed for both background and to refer to while studying this specification include: Physicians' Current Procedural Terminology CPT '94, published by American Medical Association, Code it Right Techniques for Accurate Medical Coding, published by Medicode Inc., HCPCS 1994 Medicare's National Level II Codes, published by Medicode Inc., Med-Index ICD 9 CM Fourth Edition 1993, published by Med-Index, each of which is hereby incorporated by reference in its entirety for the material disclosed therein.

II. Summary of the Invention

It is an object to provide a mechanism for assessing medical services utilization patterns. The invention achieves this object by allowing comparison processing to compare an individual treatment or a treatment group against a statistical norm or against a trend.

It is an object of the invention to provide a mechanism for converting raw medical providers' billing data into a database. The invention achieves this object

by read, analyze and merge ("RAM") processing coupled with claims edit processing to achieve a reliable, relevant data set.

It is an object of the invention to provide a mechanism for accurately determining an episode of care. The invention achieves this object by providing a sequence of steps which, when performed, yield an episode of care while filtering out irrelevant and inapplicable data.

It is an object of the invention to provide a method for performing a look-up of information, that is, providing a mechanism for gaining access to different parts of the informational tables maintained in the database. This object is achieved by reviewing the referenced tables for specific codes representing specific diagnoses. The codes are verified for accuracy. Then tables are accessed to display selected profiles. Users are then given the opportunity to select profiles for comparison.

It is an object of the invention to provide a method for comparing profiles. This object is achieved by comparing index codes against historical reference information. Discovered information is checked against defined statistical criteria. The process is repeated for each index code and its profiles developed in the history process as many times as necessary to complete the information gathering.

It is an object of the invention to create, maintain and present to the user a variety of report products. These reports are provided either on-line or in a hard copy format. The process of creating, maintaining and presenting these reports is designed to present relevant information in a complete and useful manner.

It is an object of the invention to provide a mechanism for creating a practice parameter database. This object is achieved in the invention by repetitive episode of care processing and entry of processed episode of care data into a data table until the populated data table becomes the practice parameter database.

III. Brief Description of the Drawings

FIG. 1 depicts steps performed in the method of the invention to establish a practice parameter or utilization profile for a particular diagnosis.

FIG. 2 depicts an episode of care for a single disease.

FIG. 3 depicts an episode of care for concurrent diseases.

FIG. 4 depicts potential outcomes for an episode of care.

FIG. 5 depicts phases of an episode of care.

FIGs. 6–8 depicts processing of data before episode of care processing begins.

FIG. 9 depicts episode of care processing.

FIG. 10 depicts principle elements of the invention and their relationship to each other.

FIG. 11 depicts the process of the preferred embodiment of the Read, Analyze, Merge element of the invention.

FIG. 12 depicts the process of the preferred embodiment of the Episode of Care element of the invention.

FIG. 13 depicts the process of the preferred embodiment of the Look-up element of the invention.

FIG. 14 depicts the process of the preferred embodiment of the Subset Parameter Look-up component of the Look-up element of the invention.

FIG. 15 depicts the process of the preferred embodiment of the Profile Comparison element of the invention.

IV. Detailed Description of the Preferred Embodiment

The invention includes both a system and a method for analyzing healthcare providers' billing patterns, enabling an assessment of medical services utilization patterns. When the invention is employed, it can readily be seen whether a provider or multiple providers are overutilizing or underutilizing services when compared to a particular historical statistical profile. The statistical profiles of the invention are a statically-derived norms based on clinically-validated data which has been edited to eliminate erroneous or misleading information. The profiles may be derived from geographic provider billing data, national provider billing data, the provider billing data of a particular payor entity (such as an insurance company) or various other real data groupings or sets. Multiple informational tables are used in the database of the preferred embodiment of the invention. These include a Procedure Description Table, ICD-9 Description Table, Index Table, Index Global Table, Index Detail Table, Window Table, Procedure Parameter Table, Category Table, Qualifying Master Table, Specialty Table, Zip/Region Table, Specialty Statistic Table, Age/Gender Statistic Table, Region Statistic Table, Qualifying Index Table, Qualifying Group Table,

Category Parameter Table, and Duration Parameter Table. ICD 9 codes or ICD (International Classification of Diseases, generically referred to as a disease classification) codes as they are generally referred to herein are used in the preferred embodiment. In other embodiments of the invention other codes could be used, such as predecessors or successors to ICD codes or substitutes therefor, such as DSM 3 codes, SNOWMED codes, or any other diagnostic coding schemes. These tables are described in detail as follows. It should be noted, however, that these tables described are used by the inventors in one implementation of the invention, and that the inventive concept described herein may be implemented in a variety of ways.

PROCEDURE DESCRIPTION TABLE

This table identifies and validates five years of both CPT (Current Procedural Terminology, generically referred to as an identifying code for reporting a medical service) and HCPCS level II procedure codes. The lifetime occurrence maximum and follow-up days associated with a procedure code are also located in this table.

Code (Key)	Alpha/Numeric	5	Standard CPT or HCPCS (5 Years including Modifiers)
Sub-Code	Character	2	* = Starred Procedures N = New Codes Current Year D1 = Deleted Code Current Year D2 = Deleted Code Previous Year D3 = Deleted Code Third Year D4 = Deleted Code Fourth Year C = Changed Description
Life Time Occurrence	Numeric	2	Number = Count of occurrence in a lifetime Blank = Not applicable
Follow Up Days	Numeric	3	Number of Follow up Days to procedure.
Description	Character	48	Standard abbreviated description

Total 60

USE:

- This table can validate CPT and HCPCS codes.
- Five years of codes will be kept.
- Give a brief description of the code.

- Gives the maximum number of occurrences that this code can be done in a lifetime, if applicable. (Programming not addressed, to date)
- Give the number of follow up days to a procedure. (Programming not addressed, to date)
- Modifiers are stored in this table with a "099" prefix (i.e., the 80 modifier is "09980") with a description of the modifier.
- This table interrelates with:
 - Parameter Tables
 - Category Table
 - Qualifying Tables
 - Specialty Table
 - CPT Statistic Table

ICD-9 DESCRIPTION TABLE

This table identifies and validates five years of diagnosis codes. It also contains a risk adjustment factor for each diagnosis.

ICD-9 Code (Key)	Alpha/Numeric	5	Left justified, assumed decimal after 3rd position
Sub-Code	Character	2	N = New Code D = Deleted Code C = Changed Code
Indicator	Character	1	* or blank * = code requires 4th and/or 5th digits to be specific
Risk	Alpha/Numeric	2	Overall Classification of Disease
Description	Character	48	Standard abbreviated description

Total 58

USE:

- This table can validate ICD codes.
- Five years of codes will be kept.
- Give a brief description of the code.
- Show if the code is incomplete and in need of a fourth or fifth digit. An ICD code which should have a 4th and/or 5th digit is listed with an "*".
- This file interrelates with:

- Index Table
- Index Detail Table
- Index Global Table
- Qualifying Master Table
- Family Table
- All Parameter Tables

INDEX DETAIL TABLE

This table identifies ICD-9 codes relevant to each specific index code and is used to drive the search for each episode of care. ICD-9 codes have been given an indicator which determines whether or not the associated CPT code should be included in the episode of care. Also, an indicator may cause exclusion of any specific patient record from an episode of care analysis.

Index Code <i>Numeric ICD code</i>	Alpha/Numeric or character	5	Left justified assumed decimal after 3rd position.
Indicator	Character	2	I = Index code R = Related S = signs/symptoms RO = Rule out C = complications (exclude) M = miscoded V = Vcodes MI = Miscoded Index
Beg-ICD	Alpha/Numeric	5	ICD-9 Beginning Range Code
End-ICD	Alpha/Numeric	5	ICD-9 Ending Range Code
Update	Character	1	A, C, or Blank

Total 17

USE:

- This table drives the search for the Episode of Care (EOC) and is keyed off the Index Code field.
- Other codes to be included in the parameter search are specified in the indicator field.
- ICD codes with an indicator of "C" when found in a patient history will disqualify the entire patient from the EOC process.

- ICD codes maintained in this table are listed as complete as verified by the ICD description table, with the exception of ICD codes beginning with an indicator of "M". Programming logic should consider this when using "M" codes in the search process.
- This table is used for drafting and populating a temporary file built from this table and the Index Global Table based on indicators and keys extrapolated from the Index table.

PROGRAM LOGIC TO ASSIGN EPISODE OF CARE

- Any patient history with an ICD from the temp file for the chosen Index code is tagged for possible assignment of Episode of Care.
- Perform a search on patient history for any ICD code from temp file with an indicator of "C". If found, exclude entire patient history from EOC search.
- The qualifying tables are accessed to verify if specific qualifying factors apply to determine if patient history meets criteria for determination of valid episode of care. (See Qualifying Tables for further explanation)
- The qualifying table is then accessed for further delineation of qualifying circumstances by EOC.
- A timeline is tracked, by patient, for all potential Episodes of care that may occur for a given patient history.
- The data is arrayed based on profile classes which are eight subsets of Procedure categories. An aggregate of all procedures can also be reported. (See Category Table for further explanation)
- This table interrelates with:
 - ICD Description Table
 - Index Table
 - Index Global Table
 - Parameter Table
 - CPT Statistic Table
 - Age/Sex Table

INDEX TABLE

This table provides a preliminary step for assigning and accessing different tables during the Episode of Care process. This table houses the assignment of staging and whether or not the Index Global table should be accessed.

Index Code	Alpha/Numeric	5	Left justified assumed decimal after 3rd position.
Staging	Character	2	P = preventive A = acute C = chronic L = life threatening M = manifestations
Global Key	Alpha	2	C = complications M1 = miscoded medical vcodes M2 = miscoded surgical vcodes 1 = medical vcodes 2 = surgical vcodes
Indicator	Character	2	C = complications V = vcodes
Update	Character	1	A, C, or Blank

Total 12

USE:

- Once an Index code has been selected, this table is searched for whether or not the global index table needs to be accessed.
- This table assigns the staging for the index code which points to the window table.
- This table interrelates with:
 - ICD Description Table
 - Index Detail Table
 - Index Global Table
 - Window Table

INDEX GLOBAL TABLE

This table gives a listing of ICD-9 codes common to most Index codes for either inclusion in an EOC such as preventive or aftercare, or exclusion of a patient history such as medical complications.

GLOBAL KEY	Alpha/Numeric	2	C = complications M1 = miscoded medical vcodes M2 = miscoded surgical vcodes 1 = medical vcodes 2 = surgical vcodes
ICD Beginning	Alpha/Numeric	5	ICD-9 Beginning range code
ICD Ending	Alpha/Numeric	5	ICD-9 Ending range code
Update	Character	1	A, C, or Blank

Total 13

USE:

- This table is used to identify a generic V Code or complication ICD code to be used in an EOC search for any Index code.
- It is triggered by the Index table.
- The surgical Vcodes include all M1, M2, 1 and 2's.
- Medical Vcodes include M1 and 1.
- A complication ICD code will negate the use of a patient history from the EOC search.
- A temporary file for the index code is created based on ICDs extrapolated from this table as well as the Index detail table
- This table interrelates with:
 - ICD Description Table
 - Index Table
 - Index Detail Table

WINDOW TABLE

This table contains the time period preceding and following an episode of care that must be present without any services provided to the patient relating to the index code or associated codes. These windows are used to define the beginning and end points of an episode of care. This table is driven from the staging field in the index table.

Index Code	Alpha/ Numeric	5	Left justified assumed decimal after 3rd position
Staging Indicator	Character	2	P = Preventive C = Chronic, A = Acute L = Life threatening, M = Manifestation
Beginning Window	Numeric	3	Time Period for no occurrence of ICD for Index Code
Ending Window	Numeric	3	Time Period for no occurrence of ICD for Index Code
Update	Character	1	A, C, or Blank

Total 9

USE:

- This table is keyed off of the staging indicator and it tells the program how long of a "Clear Window" is needed on both ends of this EOC for it to be valid.

PROCEDURE PARAMETER TABLE

This table contains the specific CPT codes identified for each index code listed chronologically with associated percentiles, mode, and average.

Index Code	Alpha/Numeric	5	Left justified assumed decimal after 3rd position
Profile	Alpha/Numeric	2	Mnemonic
Procedure	Alpha/Numeric	5	CPT, HCPCS
timeframe	Alpha/Numeric	3	Mnemonic for timeframe or total
50th percentile	Numeric	4	Beginning percentile range
50th percentile	Numeric	4	ending percentile range
75th percentile	Numeric	4	beginning percentile range
75th percentile	Numeric	4	ending percentile range
95th percentile	Numeric	4	beginning percentile range

95th percentile	Numeric	4	ending percentile range
Mode	Numeric	3	Numeric Count
Count	Numeric	7	Number of EOCs for timeframe
Sum	Numeric	7	Number of services for timeframe
Weighting	Numeric	6	Numeric count, assumed decimal (4.2)
Update	Character	1	A, C, or Blank

Total

63

USE:

- This table shows which CPTs are historically billed and statistically how often for a Specific Index Code.

CATEGORY PARAMETER TABLE

This table contains a listing of the procedural categories identified for each index code listed chronologically with associated percentiles, mode, and average.

Index Code	Alpha/Numeric	5	Left justified assumed decimal after 3rd position.
Profile	Alpha/Numeric	2	Mnemonic
Category	Alpha/Numeric	4	category
timeframe	Alpha/Numeric	3	Mnemonic of timeframe or total
50th percentile	Numeric	4	beginning percentile range
50th percentile	Numeric	4	ending percentile range
75th percentile	Numeric	4	beginning percentile range
75th percentile	Numeric	4	ending percentile range
95th percentile	Numeric	4	beginning percentile range
95th percentile	Numeric	4	and ending percentile range
Mode	Numeric	3	Numeric Count, assumed decimal (4.2)
Count	Numeric	7	Number of EOCs for the timeframe
Sum	Numeric	7	Number of services for the timeframe
Update	Character	1	A, C, or Blank

Total

56

USE:

- This table shows which Procedural Categories are historically billed and statistically how often for a Specific Index Code.

DURATION PARAMETER TABLE

This table contains the EOC duration distribution for a given Index code.

Index Code	Alpha/Numeric	5	Left justified assumed decimal after 3rd position.
Profile	Alpha/Numeric	2	Mnemonic
50th percentile	Numeric	4	beginning range
50th percentile	Numeric	4	ending range
75th percentile	Numeric	4	beginning range
75th percentile	Numeric	4	ending range
95th percentile	Numeric	4	beginning range
95th percentile	Numeric	4	ending range
Mode	Numeric	3	beginning and ending range
Update	Character	2	A = Add C = Change

Total 36

USE:

- This table gives access to Statistical information about EOC durations of care for a given index code.
- It interrelates with:
 - Index Detail table
 - Parameter table

CATEGORY TABLE

This Table provides a grouping of CPT codes into categories of similar services.

Category	Alpha/Numeric	4	Mnemonics
Beg-CPT	Alpha/Numeric	5	Beginning CPT Range
End-CPT	Alpha/Numeric	5	Ending CPT Range
Update	Character	1	A, C, or Blank

Total 15

USE:

- Procedure codes have been categorized according to most likely type of service they may represent. It could be characterized as a sorting mechanism for procedure codes.
- Categories are also used for arraying Episodes of Care into profile classes or can be reported as an aggregate. The subsets of the aggregate are:
 - 0 Common Profile
 - 1 Surgery/Radiation/Medicine Profile
 - 2 Medicine/Radiation Profile
 - 3 Surgery/Radiation Profile
 - 4 Surgery/Medicine Profile
 - 5 Radiation Profile
 - 6 Medicine Profile
 - 7 Surgery Profile
- This table interrelates with:
 - Parameter Table
 - Qualifying Tables
 - Procedure Table

QUALIFYING MASTER TABLE

This table provides a preliminary step for determining qualifying circumstances that may eliminate a patient history for determination of an Episode of Care. It also provides the initial sort of an episode of care for a specific profile class.

Index Code	Alpha/Numeric	5	Left justified, assumed decimal after 3rd position
Scope	Alpha	1	P = Patient E = Episode of Care B = Both
Profile	Alpha/Numeric	2	Mnemonic or Blank
Group	Alpha/Numeric	5	Correlates to group ID in Qualifying Group Table
Update	Character	1	A, C, or Blank

Total

14

USE:

- Preliminary step in EOC qualifying process.
- This table interrelates with:
 - Index Detail Table
 - Qualifying Group Table

QUALIFYING GROUP TABLE

This table groups certain qualifying circumstances to aid in an efficient search for data meeting the criteria.

Group	Alpha/Numeric	5	Left justified assumed decimal after 3rd position
Rule Type	Alpha/Numeric	2	II = Index Code specific rule IS = specific ICD code rule IC = multiple ICD to category rule CC = Multiple code rule CS = code specific rule IG = ICD to gender rule IA = ICD to age rule
Rule Identifier	Alpha/Numeric	1	T = True F = False (toggle) M = Male F = Female if IG rule type
Number required	numeric	2	number value
Update	Character	1	A, C, or Blank

Total 15

USE:

- This table groups all rules qualifying EOCs.
- This table interrelates with:
 - Qualifying Index Table
 - Qualifying Code Table
 - Qualifying Master Table
- A rule type (or rule types) is assigned by group delineating if the rule applies to a single or multiple ICD, single or multiple CPT or category or any combination thereof.
- The rule identifier is an assigned mnemonic based on what the rule is to achieve.
- The Logical indicates if the rule is positive or negative (inclusionary or exclusionary)

- The Number Required is a count of the number of occurrences required for the rule to be valid.

QUALIFYING INDEX TABLE

Table houses qualifying circumstances based on presence or non-existence of Specific procedures and/or ICD codes that would qualify or disqualify a patient history in the determination of an Episode of Care.

Rule Type	Alpha/Numeric	2	II = Index Code specific rule IS = specific ICD code rule IC = multiple ICD to category rule IA = ICD to age rule EG = ICD to gender
Rule Identifier	Alpha/Numeric	4	assigned from Qualifying Master Table
Indicator	Alpha/Numeric	2	I = Index code R = Related S = signs/symptoms RO = Rule out M = Miscoded V = Vcodes MI = Miscoded Index or Blank
Code	Alpha/Numeric	5	category, CPT, HCPCS, ICD or blank
Update	Character	1	A, C, or Blank

Total 14

USE:

- To act as a qualifying mechanism for determining if claims information can be used in the assignment of an EOC
- This table interrelates with:
 - Procedure Table
 - Category Table
 - Qualifying Group Table
 - ICD Description Table
 - Index Detail Table

QUALIFYING CODE TABLE

Table houses qualifying circumstances based on the presence or non-existence of a specific combination of procedure codes that would qualify or disqualify a patient history in the determination of an Episode of Care.

Rule Type	Alpha/Numeric	2	CC = Multiple code rule CS = code specific rule
Rule Identifier	Alpha/Numeric	4	As labeled in Qualifying Master Table
Primary code	Alpha/Numeric	5	CPT, HCPCS or category or ICD
Secondary Code	Alpha/Numeric	5	CPT, HCPCS or category or ICD
Update	Character	1	A, C, or Blank

Total 14

USE:

- To act as a qualifying mechanism for determining if claims information can be used in the assignment of an EOC.
- This table interrelates with:
 - Procedure Table
 - Category Table
 - Qualifying Group Table

SPECIALTY TABLE

Table provides a listing of medical specialties with an assigned numeric identifier.

Specialty (Key)	Alpha/Numeric	3	Medicare specialty indicator
Beg-CPT	Alpha/Numeric	5	Beginning CPT to include
End-CPT	Alpha/Numeric	5	Ending CPT to include
Update	Character	1	A, C, or Blank

Total 14

USE:

This table is used to specify which Specialty is most commonly used with which CPT.

A description of the specialty will be in the documentation.

ZIP/REGION TABLE

Table provides a listing of geographical zip codes sorted into 10 regional zones, standard HCFA information.

Region Indicator	Alpha/Numeric	2	Medicares Ten Regions
Beg-Zip Code	Numeric	5	Beginning Zip Code Range
Beg-Zip Code	Numeric	5	Ending Zip Code Range
Update	Character	1	A, C, or Blank

Total 13

USE:

This table is used to specify which Medicare Region to use for the statistic table.

SPECIALTY STATISTIC TABLE

Table provides a listing of medical specialties with an assigned numeric identifier.

Index Code	Alpha/Numeric	5	Left justified assumed decimal after 3rd position.
Specialty	Alpha/Numeric	3	
Beg-CPT Code	Alpha/Numeric	5	Beginning Range (Service Area)
Beg-CPT Code	Alpha/Numeric	5	Ending Range (Service Area)
Category	Alpha/Numeric	4	Mnemonic
Multiplier	Numeric	6	Implied decimal (4.2)
Update	Character	1	A, C, or Blank

Total 29

USE:

This table is a matrix that is directly tied to the parameter table by the index code. Its purpose is to give a numeric multiplier that is applied to the occurrence field in the parameter table, to vary the parameter by service area and/or sex and/or region. (i.e., if the occurrence is 2 and the multiplier for a specialist is 1.5, the specialist may receive a total of 3.) Multiple multipliers may be applicable to each parameter.

AGE/GENDER STATISTIC TABLE

Table provides a listing of each CPT code for an index code with a numerical factor used to adjust the frequency of each code by age and/or gender specific data analysis.

Index Code	Alpha/Numeric	5	Left justified assumed decimal after 3rd position.
Age	Alpha/Numeric	2	00-99
Sex	Alpha/Numeric	1	M, F or Blank
Category	Alpha/Numeric	3	Mnemonic
Multiplier	Decimal	6	Implied decimal (4.2)
Update	Character	1	A, C, or Blank

Total 18

USE:

This table is a matrix that is directly tied to the parameter table by the index code. Its purpose is to give a numeric multiplier that is applied to the occurrence field in the parameter table, to vary the parameter by service area and/or sex and/or region. (i.e. if the occurrence is 2 and the multiplier for a male is 1.5, the male may receive a total of 3.) Multiple multipliers may be applicable to each parameter.

REGION STATISTIC TABLE

Table provides a listing of CPT codes for an index code with a numerical factor used to adjust the frequency of each code by regional data analysis.

Index Code	Alpha/Numeric	5	Left justified assumed decimal after 3rd position.
Region	Alpha/Numeric	2	Medicares Ten Regions
Multiplier	Decimal	6	Implied decimal (4.2)
Update	Character	1	A, C, or Blank

Total 14

USE:

This table is a matrix that is directly tied to the parameter table by the index code. Its purpose is to give a numeric multiplier that is applied to the occurrence field in the parameter table, to vary the parameter by service area and/or sex and/or region. (i.e., if the occurrence is 2 and the multiplier for a region is 1.5, the region may receive a total of 3.) Multiple multipliers may be applicable to each parameter.

FILE LAYOUT FOR CLAIMS DATA CONTRIBUTION

We prefer Electronic Media Claims National Standard Format; however, if you are not using EMC the following is our suggested layout. Please include an exact layout of the format you use with your submission. The record layout that follows is for each line item that appears on a claim. The charge (field 19) should be the **non-discounted fee-for service**. There should be no aggregation or fragmentation.

Field Number	Description	Length	Alpha/ Numeric	Comments
1.	Rendering Provider ID	15	A/N	Unique provider identification number or SSN
2.	Billing Provider ID	15	A/N	Unique provider identification number or SSN
3.	Provider Specialty	3	A/N	Supply a List of Specialty codes used
4.	Patient ID	17	A/N	Unique patient ID number or SSN. May be an encrypted or encoded format.
5.	DOB	6	N	Patient Date of Birth MMDDYY
6.	Sex	1	A	M = Male, F = Female
7.	Subscriber ID	25	A/N	Insured's I.D. No., Normally SSN
8.	Relationship	1	N	Patient to Subscriber, 1 = Self, 2 = Spouse, 3 = Dependent
9.	Bill ID	15	A/N	Unique claim/bill identification number
10.	From Date of Service	6	N	MMDDYY
11.	To Date of Service	6	N	MMDDYY
12.	Provider Zip	5	N	Standard 5 digit Zip Code
13.	Place of Service	2	A/N	Supply a list of POS codes used
14.	Type of Service	2	A/N	Supply a list of TOS codes used
15.	Procedure Code	5	N	Submitted CPT or HCPC code
16.	Modifier	2	N	Submitted CPT modifier
17.	2nd Modifier	2	N	If multiple modifiers are submitted, show the second modifier used. Anesthesia Modifiers (P1-P6)
18.	Claim type	3	A/N	Payor Class Code-W/C, HCFA, Medicaid etc.
19.	Charge	5	N	Billed amount, right justified, whole dollars
20.	Allowed Amount	5	N	Right justified, whole dollars
21.	# of days/units	5	N	number of days and/or units
22.	Anesthesia time	3	N	Actual Minutes

23.	ICD1	5	A/N	First diagnostic code attached to procedure
24.	ICD2	5	A/N	Second diagnostic code attached to procedure (Both ICD1 & ICD2 are left justified, assumed decimal after 3rd byte)
25.	ICD3	5	A/N	Third diagnostic code attached to procedure
26.	ICD4	5	A/N	Fourth diagnostic code attached to procedure
27.	Out-patient facility	5	A/N	Outpatient facility/outpatient hospital identifier
28.	Revenue Code	3	N	Revenue center code

ACCEPTABLE MEDIA TYPES

- * 9 track tape: 1600 or 6250 BPI, ASCII or EBCDIC, Labeled or Unlabeled, Unpacked data, Fixed record lengths
- * Floppy disk; 3.5" (1.44Mb or 720K) or 5.25" (1.2Mb or 360K), Standard MS-DOS formatted disk, ASCII fixed record length or delimited file
- * DC 600A or DC 6150 cartridge : "TAR" or single ASCII or EBCDIC file, Unpacked data, Fixed record lengths
- * 8 mm Exabyte tape: "TAR" or single ASCII or EBCDIC file, Unpacked data, Fixed record lengths
- * 3480 cartridge: Unpacked data, Fixed record lengths, Compressed or Uncompressed
- * Maximum Block size 64,280

DATA PROCESSING METHODOLOGY

This invention is a process for analyzing healthcare providers' billing patterns to assess utilization patterns of medical services. The method of the invention incorporates a set of statistically derived and clinically validated episode of care data to be used as a paradigm for analyzing and comparing providers' services for specific diagnoses or medical conditions. This invention utilizes a series of processes to analyze the client's healthcare claims history to create unique parameters. In its preferred embodiment, the invention is implemented in software. The invention provides the following functions or tools to the client: creation of local profiles, display of profiles and comparison of profiles.

The creation of local profiles function gives the client the ability to develop unique episode of care profiles utilizing their own claims history data. The process for creating these profiles is identical to the process used in the development of the reference profiles.

The display of profiles function provides a look-up capability for information stored in the reference tables or in client generated profile tables. This look-up capability may be displayed on the computer screen or viewed as a hard-copy printout.

The comparison of profiles function provides a comparison between any two profile sources with attention to variance between them. Some examples include comparing client specific profiles to reference tables, comparing a specific subset of the client's data (eg, single provider) against either reference tables or the client's profiles, or comparing different subsets of the client's profiles to subsets of reference tables.

There are four main processes involved in the invention, as depicted in FIG. 10. These are Read, Analyze and Merge (RAM), 1001, further depicted in FIG. 11; Episode of Care analysis (EOC), 1002, further depicted in FIG. 12; Look-up function, 1003, further depicted in FIGS. 13 and 14; and Profile Comparison, 1004, further depicted in FIG. 15. The invention also includes an innovative reporting mechanism. Each of these four main processes and the reporting mechanism is described in detail in the remainder of this section.

A. Transforming Raw Data Into an Informative Database

Both the RAM and the EOC processes involve healthcare claims history search and analysis. The intent of the RAM and the EOC claims history processing is to enable the end user to establish their own unique profiles based on their existing claims data information. Developing a database of historical provider billing data which will be used to provide the functionality of the invention is the first step in the invention.

1. Read, Analyze and Merge ("RAM")

In order to define a profile a significant quantity of historical medical provider billing information must be analyzed. As indicated above, the provider billings may come from a variety of sources, with the general guideline that accuracy and completeness of the data and a statistically significant sample of provider billings are

1 required to develop a reliable profile. In the preferred embodiment of the invention, no
less than two years of consecutive claims history are used to develop the profiles. The
RAM process verifies existence and validity of all data elements in a claims history
before the data is processed to develop a profile. The reader is directed to FIGS. 1 and
5 6-8 for pictorial representations of the preferred embodiment of the invention. FIG. 1
depicts the high level steps performed in one embodiment of the invention. The data
flow shown in FIG. 1 includes loading client data 101 from tape 100, reordering various
fields 103 and performing date of service expansion 104 as necessary. Next, data are
10 merged (combined) 1-5 and sorted 106 to ensure all bill IDs are grouped together. The
data 108 are then read, analyzed and merged into an extended data set (EDS) 110.
Reporting and any other processing may occur 111 and an Episode of Care database 112
is created. In the preferred embodiment of the invention, the steps of the invention are
implemented in a software product referred to as Care Trends available from Medicode,
15 Inc. of Salt Lake City, Utah.

FIG. 6 depicts read, analyze and merge processing that occurs in the
preferred embodiment of the invention. First, one claim at a time the data 603 are read
601, crosswalked and scrubbed (filtered) 602. Then a claim is analyzed 604 with the
results output to a log file 605. The results in the log file 605 are then compared 606 to
20 the original claim data and inserted 607 into an extended data set 608.

FIG. 7 depicts an analytical process of the preferred embodiment that
includes initializing 701 RVU and line number for each line of the claim and sorting
702 by RVU (descending) and CPT and charge in order to prepare for proper analysis by
Medicode's Claims Edit System (CES). Then 703 line items are split into two
25 groupings of surgical assistant modifiers and all other modifiers in separate groups.
Each of the two groups is then validated 704 against disease classification codes (ICD
9); procedure edits rules 705 (CES tables) and unbundle/rebundle edits 706 are
performed.

FIG. 8 depicts the merge process of the preferred embodiment of the
30 invention. It includes reading 802 each line from the log file for the current bill,
proceeding with processing if the record read is pertinent 804 and determining whether
to add the record to the extended data set 805-807.

The following text includes a written description of the RAM processing that is performed in the preferred embodiment of the invention. FIG. 11 shows the RAM process.

The first step in the RAM process is determination of a patient record, 1101. It is necessary to establish a patient record that can be used in the episode of care extraction process (explained in detail below). In the preferred embodiment, a patient record is identified as a unique patient history involving no less than two years of sequential claims history. Because identifying patient information is often removed from patient records to ensure patient confidentiality, patient information such as subscriber/relationship, patient ID, age, gender, bill ID and claim ID may be useful in positively identifying a particular patient. It should be noted that claims history data from various sources may need to be handled differently to identify patient records due to differences in file organization and level of detail of information provided. The amount of information desired to be captured may vary in different embodiments of the invention, but generally the information to be captured is that on a standard HCFA 1500 billing form, Electronic Media Claims, UB 82 or UB 92 claim forms, all of which are generally known in the industry.

The next step, 1102, is the manipulation of the client file layout to extrapolate or crosswalk the pertinent information in order to conform to the logic of the invention. Examples of this step include: translation of type of service, specialty type, modifiers, and/or place of service information.

The next steps involve the validation of claims elements. Each line item of claims history is compared against the Procedure, Description tables, (such as CPT or HCPCS description tables; such tables generally are referred to as Description Tables and may contain any coding schemes) and the ICD description tables to validate the codes contained in the line item, 1103. Line items with an invalid code are not included in the remainder of RAM processing, though they are counted for future reference. Line items which indicate services being performed over a period of more than one day are expanded into numerous line items, one for each service performed, 1104. The services are then each given a unique date of service beginning with the "date of service from" for the first line item and ending with the "date of service to" for the last line item. The last validation step, 1105, is the conversion of old CPT codes to new CPT codes. This

step is essential to provide the most accurate statistics relative to physician office and hospital visits (termed Evaluation and Management Services).

The last step of the RAM process is to edit all claims for errors, through an appropriate claims edit tool, 1106. In the preferred embodiment, software known as "CLAIMS EDIT SYSTEM" which is available from Medicode, Inc. located in Salt Lake City, Utah is used to detect and correct any duplicate line items or inappropriately billed services. This results in an appropriately processed set of raw data that is now in a condition for episode of care processing. The reader is directed to the RAM source code in the Microfiche Appendix for all details of this processing performed in the preferred embodiment.

16 Figure 9 depicts episode of care formation in the preferred embodiment. This processing includes processing the records in the extended data set that relate to the current index code. This relation is determined by the index tables. Then the records are broken into potential episodes of care based on a period of time specified in a window table. Then the episode of care is qualified based on the rules in a qualifying table. 16 Qualifying episodes of care are inserted into the episode of care table.

2. Determination of Episode of Care

The next step in transforming raw data into a useful database is to determine episodes of care for the data that has already undergone RAM processing. In the invention, a database is created which contains profiles for various diagnoses, chronic and otherwise, including complications indicators. Creation of the database depends on accurately defining an episode of care ("EOC") for each diagnosis. An episode of care is generally considered to be all healthcare services provided to a patient for the diagnosis, treatment, and aftercare of a specific medical condition. The episode of care for a single disease is depicted in FIG. 2. In the simplicity of the figure, it can be seen that for the diagnosis in question, all healthcare services provided between onset and resolution should be incorporated into the database. An example of this would be a patient who has been afflicted with acute appendicitis. The patient's life prior to onset of the acute appendicitis would be considered a disease free state. On some date, the patient would notice symptoms of acute appendicitis (although he may not know the diagnosis) that cause him to seek the attention of a medical provider. That event would be considered the onset. During the disease state, numerous events may occur, such as the patient consulting a family practitioner, consulting a surgeon, laboratory work and

surgical services being performed, and follow-up visits with the provider(s). When further follow-up is no longer required, resolution has been reached. Thus an episode of care has been defined and data from that patient's episode of care is used in the invention to construct a profile for the diagnosis applicable to that patient. Without the use of additional logic, however, the use of that definition of an episode of care would result in erroneous data being entered into the EOC database.

For example, in FIG. 3 it can be seen that a patient suffering from a chronic disease who contracts a second disease could be treated both for the chronic disease and for the second disease during the disease state (i.e. between onset and resolution). If all medical provider billing data during the disease state were entered into the database, then the database would contain erroneous historical data for that individual's diagnosis. For example, if a patient who suffers from psoriasis were to be diagnosed with acute appendicitis and received treatment for psoriasis between the time of onset and resolution of his acute appendicitis, then the provider billings would contain both billings for treatment of the psoriasis and the acute appendicitis. Therefore the invention incorporates methods for discerning medical provider billings relevant to a particular diagnosis. Further, the disease state could be the active state of a chronic disease, and resolution could be the disease returning to its inactive state. A method for handling this situation is therefore also provided.

Other alternatives in the course of a disease further complicate accurately defining an episode of care. From FIG. 4 it can be seen that for any particular diagnosis, the outcome could be resolution, as described above, return to the chronic state of a disease, or complication of the disease. For example, if a patient has undergone an appendectomy, the patient may contract an infection following the surgical procedure. Because complications of various types and durations and in varying frequencies are associated with various diagnoses, a method for incorporating the complication data into the statistically-derived practice parameter is intended to be provided in the invention.

FIG. 5 depicts the phases of an episode of care, including the sequence of patient workup, treatment, and eventual solution, return to the chronic state, or complication followed by either resolution or return to the chronic state.

The method for defining an entire episode of care provided in the invention is used to construct a database of EOCs based on billing data that has been filtered to eliminate data irrelevant to the diagnosis which would lead to an erroneous

profile. Essential to the determination of an EOC are certain qualifying circumstances. These circumstances are managed through the use of interrelational qualifying tables, to provide a mechanism for sorting patient history for the occurrence of specific procedures or ICD codes that are requisite for an EOC to be valid.

The steps used in the preferred embodiment to determine an episode of care are shown in FIG. 12 and as follows.

a.) Data Sort by Index Code

First, 1205, a temporary file is created based on combining the authorized and/or disallowed ICD codes that are associated with a given index code in the Index Global Table (listing preventative and aftercare codes) and the Index Detail tables. The temporary file is created using the Index Table, which determines whether or not the Index Detail Table only should be accessed or whether the Index Global Table is also necessary for drafting the temporary file. Second 1202, the raw data set which has undergone RAM processing is sorted by index code (i.e. principal diagnosis) to find all patient records within a patient history having an occurrence of a particular index code. It is contemplated that the number of occurrences of a particular index code can be defined by the user. In the present embodiment, it is recommended that the particular index code being sought occur on at least two different dates of service. Third, 1203, the valid components of these patient records are then checked against the interrelational qualifying tables to identify ICD codes associated with the chosen index code. In addition, the patient records are searched for any comorbidity ICD codes that would disqualify the patient record for inclusion in the EOC (such as diabetes or renal failure).

Fourth, the patient records are compared against the interrelational qualifying tables to ensure compliance with all patient-level qualifying rules. Patient records that fail to qualify are no longer considered for EOC evaluation for this Index Code, however, they may still qualify for other Index Code analysis. Fifth, 1205 all relevant line items for every remaining patient record are checked against the temporary file created in step one for complicating diagnosis codes. Any patient record thus identified with a complicating diagnosis code is removed from further EOC processing.

b.) Determination of Clear Windows

Clear window processing defines the onset and resolution points of an episode of care. The actual parameters used in clear window processing may vary in

various implementations of the invention. A clear window time period is selected for the specific Index Code from the window table 1206. Next, 1207 proceeding chronologically, each record is compared with the record immediately preceding it. The first record read defines the beginning event of an initial episode of care and the last record read defines the terminating event of a final episode of care. If the two records being compared are separated by a time period equal to, or greater than, the clear window the earlier record is identified as the terminating event of the earlier episode and the later record is identified as the beginning event of the next episode. Accordingly, the initial episode of care and the final episode may be the same episode of care. It is also possible, for the first record and the last record to be the same record. This iterative process is continued for all remaining records for all patient claims. In this fashion potential EOCs are identified within the patient claims.

c.) Valid Episode of Care

Each potential episode is then checked to determine if the index code in question appears on the required number of dates of service within the EOC 1208. If the index code does not appear the required number of times, the potential EOC is pended. The qualifying tables are then checked to determine if the potential EOC meets the minimum criteria for procedure codes (such as surgical services) that are expected to be found within a potential episode of care for a given index code. If the minimum criteria are not found in an episode of care, it will not be considered in the profile summary. Processing continues for all patient records. Once an EOC has been determined for a set of claims history meeting the criteria for an Index code, a profile is assigned to the EOC based upon different combinations of treatment patterns that are likely to arise for a given medical condition, 1209. There are eight basic profile classes which outline the common combinations of treatment patterns to statistically analyze and store. These Profile Classes are:

0. Common Profile (diagnostic and E/M services common to all of the above).
1. Surgery/Medicine/Radiation Profile
2. Medicine/Radiation Profile
3. Surgery/Radiation Profile
4. Surgery/Medicine Profile

5. Radiation Profile
6. Medicine Profile
7. Surgery Profile
8. Summary Profile (summary of 0–7 above)

After all valid EOCs have been assigned to a unique profile processing continues with population of the procedure and category tables.

d.) Populating the Procedure and Category Parameter Tables

The data from qualified EOCs will be added to the procedure and category parameter tables 1210. Data from all of the episodes of care for each index code are inserted into the parameter tables to create the summary statistical profiles. In the preferred embodiment these tables are accessed by index code and populated with data from all the episodes of care for each index code to create and provide summary statistics. The procedure description table and category table are also accessed to determine a description of the procedure codes and the service category in which they fall.

The final step of the EOC process is the generation of output reports, 1211. The output report of this step can be either an online look-up report or a hard copy report. Reports are further described below.

The reader is directed to the Microfiche Appendix containing the source code for EOC processing and to FIG. 9 for supplementary information.

B. Use of the Database

1. Look-up Function

In the preferred embodiment of the invention, a look-up function is provided so that various information available in the database may be accessed. In general, a specific diagnosis may be reviewed in each of the tables of the database based on ICD code. In various embodiments of the invention, other look-up functions may be provided based on nearly any category of information contained in the database. In the preferred embodiment of the invention display of profiles is performed as part of the look-up function. Information in the procedure and category parameter tables are displayed by index code sorted chronologically to show a profile.

The specific steps of the preferred embodiment of the Look-Up function of the invention are shown in FIG. 13 and described as follows.

The first step, 1301, is to review the reference tables for a given Index ICD code. Once a specific diagnosis is chosen for review the process moves to step two. In step two, 1302, the ICD description table is accessed to verify that the ICD-9 code is valid, complete and to provide a description of the diagnosis. It will also indicate a risk adjustment factor assigned to the diagnosis.

In step three, the Index tables are accessed, 1303. Next, step four, 1304, is to determine whether or not the chosen ICD code is an Index code. If it is found as an Index code, any additional ICD codes associated with the selected Index code will be accessed, 1305. If a chosen diagnosis is not listed as an index code, a prompt, 1306, will allow a search for the selected ICD code to list which index code(s) it may be associated with and its indicator, 1307. A word search capability, 1308, is included in the look-up function applicable to the Index code display. A word or words of a diagnosis is entered and a search of possible ICD codes choices would be listed.

The next step, 1309, is to access the Parameter Tables to display selected profiles. The information provided is driven by the index code and is sorted chronologically, by profile class and by category of procedures. The user is then given the opportunity to choose whether the profiles to be accessed are from the reference tables, client developed profiles, or both, 1310. Next the Procedure Description Table, 1311, and the Category Table, 1312, are accessed to ascertain description of procedure codes and categories under which they fall.

The last step of the Look-Up function is the output of report product, 1313. This report may either be on-line look-up process or in the hard copy report format.

The preferred embodiment of the invention also performs subset profile look-up. This permits analysis of profiles based on selected subsets of data such as age, gender, region and provider specialty.

The process for the subset of profiles look-up includes all of the steps necessary for the general profiles look-up and includes the following additional steps shown in FIG. 14 and described below.

The Age/Gender Table is accessed to ascertain the standard age ranges and/or gender selection for a given profile, 1402. This information is stored by index code with an adjustment factor to be multiplied against the occurrence count of each procedure stored in the parameter table. For example, an adjustment factor of 0.6

associated with an age range of 0 to 17 would be calculated against an occurrence count of 10 for CPT code 71021 for Index code 493XX giving an age adjusted occurrence of 6 for that age range.

The Region Statistic Table, 1403, is accessed and used in a similar manner as the Age/Gender Table. This table has adjustment factors based on ten regions throughout the United States.

The Zip/Region Table, 1404, is accessed to identify what region a particular geographic zip code falls within.

The CPT Statistic Table, 1405, is accessed and used in a similar manner as the Age/Gender table. This table has adjustment factors based on different medical specialty groupings.

The Specialty table, 1406, is accessed to ascertain what particular specialty groupings are suggested.

The subset parameter Look-Up function also includes the capability of producing output reports, 1407. These reports can be on-line look-up process reports or hard-copy report format reports.

2. Comparison Processing

In the preferred embodiment of the invention, it is possible to compare profiles developed from a data set against profiles developed from a reference data set. Subsets of profiles may be compared as well. Profiles may be compared for any index code and profile reports may be output. It is also possible to identify those medical providers (whether individuals or institutions) who provide treatment that does not fall within the statistically established treatment patterns or profiles. Further, various treatment patterns for a particular diagnosis can be compared by treatment cost and patient outcome to determine the most effective treatment approach. Based on historical treatment patterns and a fee schedule, an accurate model of the cost of a specific medical episode can be created.

The specific process of Comparison Processing is shown in FIG. 15 and described as follows. The first step, 1501, is the comparison of information developed from the data history search process with reference information stored in the Parameter Tables. The next step, 1502, is to test the services from the history processing to see if it falls within the defined statistical criteria in the Parameter Tables. If it does an indicator is given to this effect, 1504. If the services fall outside the statistical criteria of

the reference Parameters Table, a variance alert describing the difference will be given, 1503. The process may be repeated for each index code and its profile developed in the history process, 1505. The final step is to produce output reports, 1506. These reports are either on-line look-up process reports or hard-copy report format reports.

3. Reporting

Reporting of various information contained in the database is provided in the preferred embodiment. Six different types of reports or displays are provided in the preferred embodiment, these are: Provider Practice Profile Report, Profile Comparison Reports, Resident Parameters Display, Local Parameters Display, Parameter Comparison Report and Chronological Forecast. Each of these reports or displays is described as follows.

The Provider Practice Profile Report is a set of reports which provide a tally or summary of total CPT and/or ICD code utilization by a provider or group of providers during a specified time interval and allows comparison against provided reference data or client generated reference data.

The select criteria for running the tally can be any one of the following:

- single physician, department, specialty or clinic by CPT and/or ICD
- multiple physicians, departments, specialties, or clinics by specialty, region, CPT and/or ICD
- period of time being analyzed

Included in the report is the following:

- criteria for select
- claims analyzed
- average lines per bill
- invalid CPTs and percent of total for study
- invalid ICDs and percent of total for study
- incomplete ICDs and percent of total for study
- patients in age categories
- patients by gender
- missing ICDs and percent of total for study

The report includes numerous (up to about 22 in the preferred embodiment) separate procedure (such as CPT) categories which are headers for each page. Each CPT utilized within that category will be reported by:

- frequency and percent of total
- dollar impact and percent of total for single or multiple fee schedules and/or allowable reimbursement schedules
- grand total if more than a single physician report

The report includes a tally by ICD. Each ICD utilized is reported on by:

- frequency and percent of total
- dollar impact and percent of total for single or multiple fee schedule and/or allowable reimbursement schedules (dollar impact based on each line item CPT correlated to the ICD)

If a report includes region and/or specialty, there are numerous tallies for procedure categories and/or ICD.

The Profile Comparison Reports give the client a comparison of a health care provider's (or group of providers') utilization of CPT and/or ICD-9 codes in a specific episode of care against a reference set of utilization profiles. This includes number, frequency and chronological order of services along with other statistical information (eg, range, mode, confidence interval, etc.).

The comparison can be against one of the following:

- national norms resident in the tables
- regional norms resident in the tables
- client established norms developed by use of the tally report, outlined above
- other

Selection criteria include the following:

- single physician, department, clinic or specialty by CPT and/or ICD to be compared against national, regional, specialty, and/or client established norms
- multiple physicians, departments, clinics, or specialties by CPT and/or ICD by specialty and/or region, to be compared against national, region, specialty, and/or client established norms
- set period of time being analyzed

General information included in the report includes:

- criteria for select (i.e., national, regional, specialty, and/or client established)

- claims analyzed
- average lines per bill
- invalid CPTs and percent of total for study and comparison
- invalid ICDs and percent of total for study and comparison
- incomplete ICDs and percent of total for study and comparison
- patients in age categories and comparison
- patients by gender and comparison
- missing ICDs and percent of total for study and comparison

The report includes numerous separate CPT categories which are headers for each page. Each CPT utilized within that category will be reported by:

- frequency and percent of total
- dollar impact and percent of total for single or multiple fee schedules and/or allowable reimbursement schedules
- grand total if more than a single physician report

The report includes a tally by ICD. Each ICD utilized is reported on by:

- frequency and percent of total
- dollar impact and percent of total for single or multiple fee schedule and/or allowable reimbursement schedules (dollar impact based on each line item CPT correlated to the ICD)

If a report includes region and/or specialty, there are numerous tallies for CPT categories and/or ICD.

The Resident Parameters Display provides the client a look-up mode for information stored in the Practice Parameter Tables or client generated parameter tables. This lookup should be on the computer screen or as a print out.

The selection criteria is based on the key elements of the Practice Parameter tables. For Example:

- Index code for associated CPT codes and/or any other the following:
 - index code only
 - index code and indicators (i.e, related, complicating, rule/outs, symptoms, etc)
 - specialty
 - region

- age
- gender
- standard length of Episode of Care
- based on profile (tally)
- based on parameter (timeline)
- regional variables
- other misc. look-ups
 - geozips incorporated in a region
 - CPT for follow up days and/or lifetime occurrence
 - specialty and associated CPT codes
 - ICD and Risk Factor

The Local Parameters Display provides the same information as described in the Display of Resident Parameters listed above.

The Parameter Comparison Reports are a set of reports which give the client a comparison of a physician (or group of physicians) utilization of CPT and/or ICD against an existing set of utilization norms over a timeline and in chronological order.

The comparison can be against one of the following:

- national norms resident in the tables
- regional norms resident in the tables
- client established norms developed by use of the tally report, outlined above
- other

Selection criteria include the following:

- single physician, department, clinic or specialty by CPT and/or ICD to be compared against national, regional, specialty, and/or client established norms
- multiple physicians, departments, clinics, or specialties by CPT and/or ICD by specialty and/or region, to be compared against national, region, specialty, and/or client established norms
- set period of time being analyzed

General information included in the report includes:

- criteria for select (i.e, national, regional, specialty, and/or client established)
- claims analyzed
- average lines per bill
- invalid claims due to incomplete Episode of Care
- invalid CPTs and percent of total for study and comparison
- invalid ICDs and percent of total for study and comparison
- incomplete ICDs and percent of total for study and comparison
- patients in age categories and comparison
- patients by gender and comparison
- missing ICDs and percent of total for study and comparison

The report includes numerous separate procedure categories which are headers for each page. Each procedure category utilized within that category will be reported by:

- frequency and percent of total
- dollar impact and percent of total for single or multiple fee schedules and/or allowable reimbursement schedules
- grand total if more than a single physician report

The Chronological Forecast provides statistical trend analysis and tracking of the utilization of billing codes representative of services performed by a physician for a given diagnosis over a set period of time and stored in chronological order. It will provide a summation of billed codes representative of services and diagnoses utilized by an entity over a period of time.

C. System Requirements

The method and system of this invention may be implemented in conjunction with a general purpose or a special purpose computer system. The computer system used will typically have a central processing unit, dynamic memory, static memory, mass storage, a command input mechanism (such as a keyboard), a display mechanism (such as a monitor), and an output device (such as a printer). Variations of such a computer system could be used as well. The computer system could be a personal computer, a minicomputer, a mainframe or otherwise. The computer system will typically run an operating system and a program capable of performing the method of the invention. The database will typically be stored on mass

storage (such as a hard disk, CD-ROM, worm drive or otherwise). The method of the invention may be implemented in a variety of programming languages such as COBOL, RPG, C, FORTRAN, PASCAL or any other suitable programming language. The computer system may be part of a local area network and/or part of a wide area network.

It is to be understood that the above-described embodiments are merely illustrative of numerous and varied other embodiments which may constitute applications of the principles of the invention. Such other embodiments may be readily devised by those skilled in the art without departing from the spirit or scope of this invention and it is our intent that they be deemed within the scope of our invention.